

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 rginia 22313-1450 www.wspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/562,832 | 02/23/2006 | Abdoel Faziel Rajabali | 2001-1427 | 8381 |
| 466 7590 06/09/2009 YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WATKINS III, WILLIAM P | |
| Suite 500 ALEXANDRI | A. VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/09/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/562 832 RAJABALI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit William P. Watkins III 1794 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 March 2009</u>. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 18-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/562,832 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

is given below.

DETAILED ACTION

 The rejection given in section 2 of the detailed portion of the office action mailed 9/5/2008 is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments 05 March 2009. A new rejection

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be needtived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 18-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westre et al. (U.S. 6,114,050) in view of Behr et al. (U.S. 6,428,905 B1).

Westre et al. teaches a multiple metal layer laminate with adhesive inner layers between the metal layers (abstract). The reference teaches reduction in the number of inner metal layers with a resulting decrease in the laminate thickness for areas that do not need to be reinforced with the central metal layers (Figure 5, col. 13, lines 5-25). Behr et al. teaches that it is known to reinforce outer metal layers by the use of a perforated central metal layer with a reduction in thickness of the total laminate at the openings in the central metal layer (col. 1, lines 15-30). The instant invention claims outer metal layers and a central inner metal layer with openings and reduced thickness

Application/Control Number: 10/562,832 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

of the laminate at the openings. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the central metal layers of Westre et al. with openings in the areas that do not need reinforcement in view of the teachings of Behr et al. Variation in the pattern of the openings and the number of inner metal layers would depend on the specific laminate reinforcement needed for a given application and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample can be reached on 571-272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information For published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see https://pair-direct.usplo.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/562,832 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

WW/ww June 10, 2009

/William P. Watkins III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794